

Generating “random” numbers in  $\text{\TeX}$ .

```
\setrannum {\langle counter \rangle} {\langle minimum \rangle} {\langle maximum \rangle}
\setrandimen {\langle dimen-register \rangle} {\langle minimum \rangle} {\langle maximum \rangle}
```

This software is released to the public domain.

Random integers are generated in the range 1 to 2147483646 by the macro `\nextrandom`. The result is returned in the counter `\randomi`. Do not change `\randomi` except, perhaps, to initialize it at some random (or specific) value. If you do not initialize it, it will be initialized using the time and date. (This is a sparse initialization, giving fewer than a million different starting values, but you should use other sources of numbers if they are available—just remember that most of the numbers available to  $\text{\TeX}$  are not at all random.)

The `\nextrandom` command is not very useful by itself, unless you have exactly 2147483646 things to choose from. Much more useful is the `\setrannum` command which sets a given counter to a random value within a specified range. There are three parameters:

```
\setrannum {\langle counter \rangle} {\langle minimum \rangle} {\langle maximum \rangle}
```

For example, to simulate a die-roll:

```
\setrannum{\die}{1}{6} \ifcase\die...
```

If you need random numbers that are not integers, you will have to use dimen registers instead with `\setrandimen`. For example, to set a random page width between 3 and 6.5 inches:

```
\setrandimen\hsize{3in}{6.5in}
```

The “`\pointless`” macro will remove the “pt” that  $\text{\TeX}$  gives so you can use the dimensions as pure “real” numbers. In that case, specify the range in pt units. For example,

```
\setrandimen\answer{2.71828pt}{3.14159pt}
The answer is \pointless\answer.
```

The random number generator is the one by Lewis, Goodman, and Miller (1969) and used as “ran0” in “Numerical Recipies” using Schrage’s method for avoiding overflows. The multiplier is 16807 ( $7^5$ ), the added constant is 0, and the modulus is 2147483647 ( $2^{31} - 1$ ).

See CACM, Vol. 36, no. 7, (July 1993), p. 109. The original authors Park and Miller have since concluded that a better multiplier is 48271, rather than their original 16807.

The range of integers generated is 1 – 2147483646. A smaller range would reduce the complexity of the macros a bit, but not much—most of the code deals with initialization and type-conversion. On the other hand, the large range may be wasted due to the sparse seed initialization.